Navigating Excellence: Curriculum Mapping and Student-Centric Learning in Undergraduate Medical Education

Ritcha Saxena[1]* Kevin Carnewale[2]

1*Department of Biomedical Sciences, University of Minnesota School of Medicine, Duluth, Minnesota, USA.
2 Department of Pathology, Des Moines College of Osteopathic Medicine, Des Moines University, Iowa, USA.

* Address for correspondence: Ritcha Saxena
Department of Biomedical Sciences, University of Minnesota School of Medicine, 1035 University Dr, Duluth, MN 55812, United States. Email address: rsaxena@d.umn.edu

Abstract

Undergraduate medical education continually adapts to evolving healthcare demands. This article delves into two transformative approaches—curriculum mapping and student-centric learning—that have reshaped medical education. Curriculum mapping is a structured process enhancing educational programs by aligning them with goals, fostering student-centered learning, and facilitating continuous improvement and accreditation monitoring. Student-centric learning tailors education to individual needs, encouraging engagement, critical thinking, and problem-solving. Integrating these approaches empowers learners, deepens comprehension, nurtures lifelong learning, and equips students for success in a dynamic healthcare environment. Aligning objectives, content, and assessments with students' needs creates a holistic educational experience.

Curricular Mapping

Undergraduate medical education stands at the intersection of tradition and innovation, continuously adapting to the ever-evolving landscape of healthcare. Delivering extensive and ever-expanding medical curricula within the confines of an undergraduate program necessitates a profound grasp of the curriculum's diverse facets. In recent years, two pivotal concepts have reshaped medical education: curriculum mapping and student-centric learning. Curriculum mapping involves the indexing or visual representation of a curriculum to recognize and rectify academic gaps, duplications, and discrepancies, ultimately enhancing the overall consistency and, consequently, the effectiveness of the educational program. In short, curricular mapping is a structured process that empowers medical educators to design, assess, and refine their educational programs. At its core, it is a dynamic and indispensable process, offering a panoramic view of

the curriculum's intricate interconnections and associations. Curriculum maps serve as centralized electronic reservoirs, effectively delineating the syllabus, and their usage is steadily gaining traction across global undergraduate medical programs. [1,2]

Notably, curriculum mapping's role has surged in the context of competency-based medical education, where aligning institutional programs with national outcome standards has become paramount.[3] Curriculum mapping significantly improves clarity and transparency by systematically mapping and creating connections between learning outcomes, material, learning resources, and assessment. It empowers learners to discern the interplay and relevance of what's being taught, fostering the seeds of self-directed learning.[4-6]

The merits of curriculum mapping are diverse and multidimensional, spanning a spectrum of critical functions. It enables the evaluation of the feasibility of achieving learning outcomes, adeptly detects redundancies, gaps, weaknesses, and strengths, and offers a systematic approach to their resolution. Furthermore, it contributes to the formulation of robust assessment strategies that span the entire curriculum, promoting seamless coordination and alignment.[7,8] Perhaps most significantly, curriculum mapping stands as a catalyst for both student achievement and faculty engagement.[9] As medical schools increasingly pivot towards integrated curricula, replacing traditional paradigms, mapping emerges as an indispensable agent of change. It ensures that curricular content harmoniously converges across dimensions, whether it's horizontal, longitudinal, or spiral integration.

This article explores the significance of these two approaches (curriculum mapping and student-centric learning), their roles in shaping the future of healthcare professionals, and how they can be integrated to create a holistic educational experience.

The Essence of Curriculum Mapping

Curriculum mapping is akin to crafting a navigational guide for both educators and students in the intricate realm of medical education. It systematically organizes learning objectives, content, assessment methods, and their timing throughout the curriculum. Several compelling reasons underscore its indispensability. To begin with, curriculum mapping ensures that every aspect of the curriculum is aligned with the course's educational objectives. By providing a visual representation of how different elements support overarching goals, it facilitates the identification of areas for improvement and alignment. This alignment is critical for meeting educational standards and enhancing program effectiveness.[10] Secondly, curriculum mapping promotes student-centered learning experiences. By customizing content and assessments to meet the unique needs of learners, it enhances engagement and overall effectiveness. This approach actively involves students in their education, leading to better learning outcomes.[11,12] Moreover, regular updates to curriculum maps create a culture of continuous improvement. Incorporating feedback from both students and faculty, along with adapting to changing healthcare trends and practices, ensures that the curriculum remains relevant and up-to-date.[13]

Mapping is a cornerstone of rigorous quality assurance. It goes beyond merely meeting accreditation standards and regulatory requirements; it upholds the high standards expected in medical education, validating curriculum alignment with essential accreditation criteria and regional and worldwide competency frameworks.[14,15] Accrediting programs heavily depend on the collection of proof through

curricular alignment and mapping. A thoroughly documented curriculum, which encompasses program and course alignment and mapping, is a clear indicator of excellence and effective administration.[16,17]

Curriculum maps also provide a common visual reference point for curriculum committees and teaching faculty. They serve as a foundation for discussions on curriculum design, improvements, and innovations. Using curriculum maps, faculty members can easily identify areas where their expertise can contribute to curriculum enhancements. To create a highly efficient integrated curriculum, it's essential to facilitate the exchange of information among teachers and coordinate their efforts to align with the institution's overarching objectives.[7,18] Furthermore, through curriculum mapping, resource needs can be identified and prioritized. This optimization of resource allocation is particularly valuable in resource-constrained settings, where faculty, facilities, and technology must be used efficiently to support the curriculum effectively.[19] Finally, curriculum mapping not only aids in curriculum development but also provides valuable data for medical education research. Researchers can analyze the relationships between curriculum components, teaching methods, and student outcomes, contributing to the advancement of medical education as a whole.[20,21]

However, curriculum mapping within medical programs also presents a plethora of complexities. One prominent challenge is aligning discipline-specific intended learning outcomes with the multitude of inter-disciplinary learning objectives. Moreover, the dynamic nature of medical curricula compounds the intricacies, necessitating regular reviews and updates to keep pace with rapid scientific advancements, evolving community needs, technological innovations and their practical applications, and alterations in healthcare regulations. This complexity is further compounded by the expanding array of instructional methodologies and the ever-evolving landscape of medical education.[22-24] To address these challenges, it is crucial to follow a systematic set of steps to ensure the effective application of curriculum mapping.

Key Steps in Curriculum Mapping

1. Define Objectives: To ensure program success, it is important to establish clear goals and align them with course objectives. These objectives should equip students with the necessary skills, knowledge, and attitudes. Instructional designers must consistently review and address any gaps between learning opportunities and outcomes. Curriculum mapping ensures the seamless alignment of educational goals with delivered content, identifying gaps, redundancies, or missed teaching opportunities in vital concepts. This process involves students acquiring foundational knowledge, developing critical thinking skills, and applying their knowledge effectively in real-world situations.[25]

2. Identify Content: Curriculum mapping begins by identifying the essential content that encompasses medical knowledge, clinical skills, and professional development. This is the process of recognizing, collecting, and organizing the educational materials that will support the teaching strategies and facilitate students in attaining the course goals. This encompasses the resources that students will require, such as textbooks, open educational materials, videos, supplementary materials, educational tools, software, web resources, and more. This critical step sets the stage for designing a curriculum that covers all necessary aspects of medical education.[26]

3. Sequence, Align, and Implement Instructional Plans: Once the content is identified, it needs to be sequenced and aligned logically, encompassing strategies for lesson planning, instruction, classroom management, and related aspects. It involves how teachers deliver information and how students discover knowledge, emphasizing active student involvement and engagement. This ensures a cohesive flow that aligns with the predefined learning objectives, taking into account the interconnected nature of various topics. Proper sequencing and alignment are crucial for effective curriculum delivery. Additionally, detailed implementation planning is essential, including scheduling lectures, labs, clinical rotations, and other educational activities to ensure a cohesive and well-structured learning experience that aligns with instructional plans.[27,28]

4. Assessment Alignment: Assessments play a vital role in measuring student progress. Curriculum mapping correlates assessment methods with learning objectives. Moreover, assessments are strategically distributed throughout the curriculum to ensure that students' progress is consistently evaluated. For example, to ensure that students have the opportunity to self-assess their progress to ensure alignment with course objectives before high-stakes exams, formative assessments can be utilized, like self-assessment quizzes, in-class activities, homework, and partner activities, that allow for low-stakes learning and growth without severe consequences. In contrast, during summative assessment, students showcase their achievements, providing valuable data for refining instructional design and strategies to enhance learning. These assessments are usually formal and can be graded or ungraded, with higher stakes. They require students to exhibit mastery of course objectives through activities like traditional exams, case studies, research papers, projects, and others.[29-31]

5. Data Collection and Analysis: Gathering performance data and analyzing teaching methods are essential steps in curriculum mapping. This data-driven approach helps identify the strengths and weaknesses of the curriculum delivery. By continually assessing the curriculum's effectiveness, improvements can be made to enhance student learning experiences.[32,33]

6. Feedback, Adaptation, and Continuous Evaluation: Faculty and student feedback are invaluable for curriculum improvement, fostering open communication and collaboration for continuous curriculum enhancement. Curriculum mapping encourages the collection of insights from both groups, using their feedback to adapt and refine the curriculum continually. Establishing mechanisms for ongoing monitoring and evaluation is essential. These mechanisms assess student attainment of learning outcomes and provide valuable insights into the curriculum's effectiveness.[34,35]

7. Alignment with Accreditation Standards: As a response to the standards imposed by accreditation bodies, curriculum mapping has recently become a prominent trend in medical education.[36,37] Curriculum mapping emerges as a valuable instrument for these programs, enabling them to quantify their educational processes, visually appraise their strengths and areas needing improvement, and effectively present key aspects and outcomes of their educational practices to various stakeholders. Continuous alignment of the curriculum with accreditation standards and guidelines is a crucial aspect of curriculum mapping. This ensures that the program maintains accreditation status and meets quality assurance requirements.[38-39] Accrediting agencies place a premium on transparency within the curriculum, necessitating that the location of specific learning experiences and their corresponding learning objectives be easily discernible. For instance, the Liaison Committee on Medical Education (LCME) in the United States mandates that medical schools distinctly identify the whereabouts of objectives related to particular facets of human development, such as adolescent

medicine and geriatrics, within the curriculum. Consequently, curriculum mapping plays a pivotal role in ensuring the curriculum's transparency and ease of communication. It serves as an invaluable tool that significantly aids medical schools in achieving compliance with LCME curricular standards by providing a clear and structured means to align educational objectives with the curriculum's composition and content. [40]

8. Technology Integration: Exploring educational technology and digital tools is essential to enhance curriculum delivery and assessments. Integrating technology improves efficiency and interactivity, keeping the curriculum up-to-date with the evolving educational landscape. [41]

Student-Centric Learning

Student-centric learning represents a fundamental shift in the educational landscape, recognizing the individuality of each learner. The transformative power of student-centric learning becomes evident through several key aspects. Firstly, it embraces the concept of personalized learning, where curricula are customized to cater to the unique needs of each student. This approach allows learners to delve into subjects that pique their interest, set their own learning pace, and actively engage in self-directed educational journeys. Furthermore, student-centric education fosters a heightened level of engagement. By involving them in the decision-making processes that shape their learning, it nurtures a deeper sense of commitment and enthusiasm. This heightened engagement, in turn, translates into elevated motivation and dedication to their academic pursuits. [42-44]

Critical thinking, problem-solving, and the cultivation of lifelong learning skills take center stage in student-centric curricula. These competencies are particularly crucial in the ever-evolving field of medicine, where adaptability and analytical thinking are paramount. Flexibility is another hallmark of this approach. It accommodates a wide array of learning styles and preferences, fostering inclusivity and catering to the diverse needs of student populations. Lastly, student-centric learning encourages active participation in feedback and reflection mechanisms. By involving students in these processes, it nurtures a culture of continuous improvement, ensuring that the educational experience remains dynamic and responsive to evolving needs and expectations. [45,46]

Integrating Curriculum Mapping and Student-Centric Learning

The integration of curriculum mapping and student-centric learning forms a synergy that empowers undergraduate medical education to excel. By aligning learning objectives, content, and assessments with students' needs and aspirations, educators create a holistic educational experience. Student-centric learning acknowledges each learner's uniqueness, placing them at the core of the educational process. Educators must understand their students' backgrounds, preferences, and ambitions, creating detailed student personas to align curriculum mapping and student-centric learning. Central to the integration of curricular mapping and learner-centric learning is the definition of clear, measurable learning objectives that adhere to educational standards. What sets this approach apart is the emphasis on customization. Within these defined objectives, students are encouraged to explore areas of personal interest, thereby fostering a learning journey that is both personalized and meaningful. [47,48]

Active Learning Strategies and Feedback Mechanisms: To actively engage medical students, employment of various active learning strategies is necessary, including problem-based learning, case studies, and group discussions. These strategies not only reinforce predefined learning objectives but also promote critical thinking and problem-solving skills. Also, the integration of regular feedback mechanisms, encompassing peer and self-assessment, empowers students to take an active role in evaluating their progress and making improvements, playing a role in student-centric learning and seamlessly aligning with curriculum maps.[49-51]

Support Systems and Technology: Effective support systems, comprising academic advisors, mentors, and tutoring services, play a pivotal role in this educational synergy. These resources provide invaluable assistance to students in achieving their defined learning objectives. Furthermore, the strategic use of educational technology offers a plethora of digital resources, ranging from online materials to interactive simulations and virtual patient encounters. These digital tools not only grant students the flexibility to learn at their own pace but also ensure alignment with curriculum objectives.[52]

Comprehensive Assessment and Continuous Improvement: Assessment methods, both formative and summative, promote continuous learning and align with curriculum mapping. These mechanisms serve to gauge student understanding comprehensively while fostering a culture of continuous learning. The journey toward an integrated curriculum does not culminate with these elements. Encouraging students to engage in reflective practices also fosters deeper understanding and cultivates metacognitive skills. This, along with feedback loops with students and faculty fosters a culture of continuous improvement.[52,54]

Conclusion

By recognizing the uniqueness of each learner and tailoring education to their needs and aspirations, we prepare a generation of physicians who are not only knowledgeable but also adaptable, empathetic, and committed to lifelong learning. The integration of curriculum mapping and student-centric learning empowers learners to take charge of their education while providing educators with a structured framework to ensure learning objectives are met. It fosters a deeper understanding of medical concepts, a passion for lifelong learning, and equips students with the skills needed to excel in a dynamic healthcare landscape. This comprehensive approach ensures that undergraduate medical education continues to lead the way in preparing future healthcare professionals who can navigate the complexities of healthcare with excellence. Embracing this integration is not just an educational trend; it's a paradigm shift that holds the key to shaping the future of medical education and healthcare delivery. As medicine advances, this synergy remains an essential tool in preparing healthcare professionals to excel in their roles and meet the evolving needs of patients and society.

References -

1. Cottrell S, Hedrick JS, Lama A, Chen B, West CA, Graham L, et al. Curriculum mapping: A comparative analysis of two medical school models. Med Sci Educ. 2016. https://doi.org/10.1007/s40670-016-0225-z.
2. Willett TG. Current status of curriculum mapping in Canada and the UK. Med Educ. 2008. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2923.2008.03093.x

3. Lammerding-Koeppel M, Fritze O, Giesler M, Narciss E, Steffens S, Wosnik A, Griewatz J. 2018. Benchmarking for research-related competencies – a curricular mapping approach at medical faculties in Germany. Med Teach. 40(2):164–173

4. Harden RM. 2001. AMEE Guide No. 21: curriculum mapping: a tool for transparent and authentic teaching and learning. Med Teach. 23(2): 123–137

5. l-Eyd G, Achike F, Agarwal M, et al. Curriculum mapping as a tool to facilitate curriculum development: a new School of Medicine experience. BMC Med Educ. 2018;18:185 https://doi.org/10.1186/s12909-018-1289-9

6. Kaufman DM. Applying educational theory in practice. BMJ. 2003;326(7382):213-216. doi:10.1136/bmj.326.7382.213

7. Uchiyama KP, Radin JL. Curriculum mapping in higher education: a vehicle for collaboration. Innov High Educ. 2009;33(4):271–280. doi:10.1007/s10755-008-9078-8

8. Balzer F, Hautz WE, Spies C, et al. Development and alignment of undergraduate medical curricula in a web-based, dynamic learning opportunities, objectives and outcome platform (LOOOP). Med Teach. 2016;38(4):369–377. doi:10.3109/0142159X.2015.1035054

9. Squires D. Curriculum alignment research suggests that alignment can improve student achievement. Clear House. 2012;85(4):129–135. doi:10.1080/00098655.2012.657723

10. Sherborne T. Mapping the Curriculum: How Concept Maps can Improve the Effectiveness of Course Development. In: Okada A, Shum SB, Sherborne T, editors. Knowledge Cartography. Advanced Information and Knowledge Processing. Springer; 2008:183-198. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-1-84800-149-7_9

11. Irby DM, Cooke M, O'Brien BC. Calls for reform of medical education by the Carnegie Foundation for the Advancement of Teaching: 1910 and 2010. Acad Med. 2010;85(2):220-227. doi:10.1097/ACM.0b013e3181c88449

12. Okojie MU, Bastas M, Miralay F. Using Curriculum Mapping as a Tool to Match Student Learning Outcomes and Social Studies Curricula. Front Psychol. 2022;13:850264. Published 2022 Aug 18. doi:10.3389/fpsyg.2022.850264)

13. Cottrell S, Linger B, Shumway J. Using information contained in the curriculum management information tool (CurrMIT) to capture opportunities for student learning and development. Medical Teacher. 2004;26:423–427. doi: 10.1080/0142159042000218650.

14. GMC. Outcomes for Graduates 2018. Available from https://www.gmc-uk.org/-/media/documents/outcomes-for-graduates-2020_pdf-84622587.pdf.

15. Schneider, GB, Cunningham-Ford, MA, Johnsen, DC, Eckert, ML, Mulder, M. Outcomes mapping: a method for dental schools to coordinate learning and assessment based on desired characteristics of a graduate. J Dent Educ 2014 Sep;78:1268–78. https://doi.org/10.1002/j.0022-0337.2014.78.9.tb05798.x.

16. Shaheen AW, Fedoriw KB, Khachaturyan S, et al. Aligning medical student curriculum with practice quality goals: impacts on quality metrics and practice capacity for students. Am J Med. 2019;132(12):1478–1483. doi:10.1016/j.amjmed.2019.08.011

17. Wijngaards-de Meij L, Merx S. Improving curriculum alignment and achieving learning goals by making the curriculum visible. Int J Acad Dev. 2018;23(3):219–231. doi:10.1080/1360144X.2018.1462187

18. Koppang A. Curriculum Mapping: Building Collaboration and Communication. Intervention in School and Clinic. 2004;39(3):154-161. doi:10.1177/10534512040390030401

19. Wachtler C, Troein M. A hidden curriculum: mapping cultural competency in a medical programme, Med. Educ. 37 (2003) 861–868.

20. Dolmans DH, van der Vleuten CP. Research in medical education: pratical impact on medical training and future challenges. GMS Z Med Ausbild. 2010;27(2):Doc34. doi:10.3205/zma000671

21. Brauer DG, Ferguson KJ. The integrated curriculum in medical education: AMEE Guide No. 96. Med Teach. 2015;37(4):312-322. doi:10.3109/0142159X.2014.970998

22. Komenda M, Víta M, Vaitsis C, et al. Curriculum mapping with academic analytics in medical and healthcare education. PLoS One. 2015;10(12):e0143748. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0143748

23. Arafeh S. Curriculum mapping in higher education: a case study and proposed content scope and sequence mapping tool. J Furth High Educ. 2016;40(5):585–611. doi:10.1080/0309877X.2014.1000278

24. Bayer CR, Respress E, Willock RJ, Heiman HJ. Curriculum mapping and needs assessment to inform the training of health policy leaders. Ethn Dis. 2019;29(Suppl 2):413. doi:10.18865/ed.29.S2.413

25. Anderson H, Moore D, Anaya G, Bird E. Student Learning Outcomes Assessment: A Component of Program Assessment. Am J Pharm Educ. 2005;69:256-268. doi:10.5688/aj690239

26. Vashe A, Devi V, Rao R, Abraham RR. Curriculum mapping of dental physiology curriculum: The path towards outcome-based education. Eur J Dent Educ. 2020;24(3):518-525. doi:10.1111/eje.12531

27. Herrmann T, Leggett T. Curriculum Mapping: Aligning Content and Design. Radiol Technol. 2019;90(5):530-533.

28. Wardle K, Chakrabarti R, Wright T, et al. Evaluating a novel intervention in undergraduate medicine: an MBBS Curriculum Map. BMC Med Educ. 2023;23:227. doi:10.1186/s12909-023-04224-1

29. Epstein RM. Assessment in medical education. N Engl J Med. 2007;356(4):387-396. doi:10.1056/NEJMra054784

30. Kassab SE, Hussain S. Concept mapping assessment in a problem-based medical curriculum. Med Teach. 2010;32(11):926-931. doi:10.3109/0142159X.2010.497824

31. Ismail SM, Rahul DR, Patra I, Rezvani E. Formative vs. summative assessment: impacts on academic motivation, attitude toward learning, test anxiety, and self-regulation skill. Language Testing in Asia. 2022;12(1):40. doi:10.1186/s40468-022-00191-4

32. Armayor GM, Leonard ST. Graphic strategies for analyzing and interpreting curricular mapping data. Am J Pharm Educ. 2010;74(5):81. doi:10.5688/aj740581

33. Holycross J. Curriculum Mapping—An Essential Tool for Curriculum Development. J Physician Assist Educ. 2006;17(4):61-64.

34. Zelenitsky S, Vercaigne L, Davies NM, Davis C, Renaud R, Kristjanson C. Using Curriculum Mapping to Engage Faculty Members in the Analysis of a Pharmacy Program. Am J Pharm Educ. 2014;78(7):139. doi:10.5688/ajpe787139

35. Joyner H. Curriculum Mapping: A Method to Assess and Refine Undergraduate Degree Programs. J Food Sci Educ. 2016;15:83-100. doi:10.1111/1541-4329.12086.

36. Greenstein L. Assessing 21st century skills: A guide to evaluating mastery and authentic learning. Thousand Oaks, CA: SAGE Publications Company; 2012.

37. National Research Council. Education for life and work: Developing transferable knowledge and skills in the 21st century. Washington, DC: National Academic Press; 2012.

38. Plaza CM, Draugalis JR, Slack MK, Skrepnek GH, Sauer KA. Curriculum mapping in program assessment and evaluation. Am J Pharm Educ. 2007;71:1–8. doi: 10.5688/aj710101.

39. Perlin MS. Curriculum Mapping for Program Evaluation and CAHME Accreditation. J Health Adm Educ. 2011;28(1):33-53.

40. Liaison Committee on Medical Education (LCME). Function & Structure of a medical school, standards for accreditation of medical education programs Leading to the M.D. Degree. 2015. Available from: http://lcme.org/publications/. Accessed September 1, 2016.

41. Komenda M, Schwarz D, Vaitsis C, Zary N, Štěrba J, Dušek L. OPTIMED Platform: Curriculum Harmonisation System for Medical and Healthcare Education. Stud Health Technol Inform. 2015;210:511-515.

42. Harden J. AMEE Guide No 20: The good teacher is more than a lecturer - the twelve roles of the teacher. Med Teach. 2009;22:334-347. doi:10.1080/014215900409429.

43. Saxena R, Ejutse T, Salzle M, Carnevale K. Using digital pathology in competency-based case scenarios as an efficient pathology learning platform. Am J Clin Pathol. 2021;156(Supplement_1):S107. doi:10.1093/ajcp/aqab191.227.

44. Garrison DR, Kanuka H. Blended learning: Uncovering its transformative potential in higher education. Internet High Educ. 2004;7(2):95-105. doi:10.1016/j.iheduc.2004.02.001.

45. Kandi V, Basireddy PR. Creating a Student-centered Learning Environment: Implementation of Problem-based Learning to Teach Microbiology to Undergraduate Medical Students. Cureus. 2018;10(1):e2029. Published 2018 Jan 5. doi:10.7759/cureus.2029

46. Abdigapbarova U, Zhiyenbayeva N. Organization of Student-Centered learning within the Professional Training of a future teacher in a Digital Environment. Educ Inf Technol (Dordr). 2023;28(1):647-661. doi:10.1007/s10639-022-11159-5

47. Ambrose SA, Bridges MW, DiPietro M, Lovett MC, Norman MK. How Learning Works: Seven Research-Based Principles for Smart Teaching. John Wiley & Sons; 2010.

48. Hmelo-Silver CE. Problem-Based Learning: What and How Do Students Learn?. Educational Psychology Review. 2004;16:235-266. https://doi.org/10.1023/B:EDPR.0000034022.16470.f3

49. Gauci SA, Dantas AM, Williams DA, Kemm RE. Promoting student-centered active learning in lectures with a personal response system. Adv Physiol Educ. 2009;33(1):60-71. doi:10.1152/advan.00109.2007

50. Boud D, Falchikov N. Aligning assessment with long-term learning. Assessment & Evaluation in Higher Education. 2006;31(4):399-413. doi:10.1080/02602930600679050

51. Nicol DJ, Macfarlane-Dick D. Formative assessment and self-regulated learning: a model and seven principles of good feedback practice. Studies in Higher Education. 2006;31(2):199-218. doi:10.1080/03075070600572090

52. Jeffries PR, Bushardt RL, DuBose-Morris R, et al. The Role of Technology in Health Professions Education During the COVID-19 Pandemic. Acad Med. 2022;97(3S):S104-S109. doi:10.1097/ACM.0000000000004523

53. Jayatilleke N, Mackie A. Reflection as part of continuous professional development for public health professionals: a literature review. J Public Health. 2013;35(2):308-312. doi:10.1093/pubmed/fds083

54. Bayer CR, Respress E, Josiah Willock R, Heiman HJ. Curriculum Mapping and Needs Assessment to Inform the Training of Health Policy Leaders. Ethn Dis. 2019;29(Suppl 2):413-420. Published 2019 Jun 13. doi:10.18865/ed.29.S2.413